

Notice of Independent Review Decision IRO REVIEWER REPORT

Date notice sent to all partic	es: July 20, 2012
IRO CASE #:	
DESCRIPTION OF THE S	ERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:
Two-hour diagnostic psychol	ogical interview
	E QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION:
	esthesiology by the American Board of Anesthesiology with ations in Pain Management, in practice of Pain Management
REVIEW OUTCOME:	
<u> </u>	e reviewer finds that the previous adverse ninations should be: ODG are not met for the requested
XUpheld	(Agree)
Overturned	(Disagree)
Partially Overturned	(Agree in part/Disagree in part)
ODG are not met for the requ	ested intervention.

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW:

- 1. TDI referral information
- 2. Denial information, 5/31/12, 6/25/12
- 3. Response to denial letter, MS, LPC
- 4. Office notes, DC, 3/28/12 5/14/12
- 5. Preauthorization information
- 6. Peer review, MD, 6/3/12

8005 Pinto Path Austin, TX 78736 903.348.2504 * 512.697.8301 (fax) * nan@swforensics.com

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

This individual sustained a right hip and back injury on xx/xx/xx when she fell at her job as a. She underwent a total of eight psychotherapy sessions in 03/11 and 08/11. There is persistent foot pain, which initially was deemed noncompensable, but a Benefit Review Conference ruled that it is part of the compensable injury. An orthopedic workup is in progress. The individual is performing normal work duties.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

ODG for psychological services stipulate a step process:

Step 1: Identify and address specific concerns about pain and enhance interventions that emphasize self-management. Since an orthopedic evaluation is in progress, the foot pain has not been adequately treated, so one cannot address concerns about pain prior to a treatment plan.

Step 2: Identify patients who continue to experience pain and disability after the usual time of recovery. There is no disability since the individual is working and at normal activities, and it is too soon to evaluate pain concerns since the condition has not been adequately diagnosed or treated. There have been sessions of psychotherapy previously, and there is no information that indicates additional psychotherapy is indicated.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

ACOEM-American College of Occupational & Environmental Medicine UM
Knowledgebase.
AHCPR-Agency for Healthcare Research & Quality Guidelines.
DWC-Division of Workers' Compensation Policies or Guidelines.
European Guidelines for Management of Chronic Low Back Pain.
Interqual Criteria.
Medical judgment, clinical experience and expertise in accordance with accepted
medical standards.
Mercy Center Consensus Conference Guidelines.
Milliman Care Guidelines.
XODG-Official Disability Guidelines & Treatment Guidelines.
Pressley Reed, The Medical Disability Advisor.
Texas Guidelines for Chiropractic Quality Assurance & Practice Parameters.
Texas TACADA Guidelines.
TMF Screening Criteria Manual.
Peer reviewed national accepted medical literature (provide a description).
Other evidence-based, scientifically valid, outcome-focused guidelines (provide a
description.)